Volume Visualization

Baoquan Chen

Peking University

Volume Datasets





MRI / CT / PET / Ultrasonography



Micro-Tomography



Confocal Microscopy



Voxelization



Simulation

Volumetric Application Biomedical Visualization





Virtual colonoscopy

Radiation Therapy

Volumetric Application

Scientific Visualization



Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)



High-potential iron proteins

Volumetric Application Amorphous Phenomena



Volumetric Application Sculpting System



Volume Graphics



Volumetric objects

have information inside it

 not consist of explicit surfaces and edges

May be too voluminous to be represented geometrically

Volume Visualization Objective

Peer inside voumetric objects

Probe into voluminous & complex structures

Volume Visualization

A visualization method concerned with the representation, manipulation, and rendering of volumetric data.

History of Volume Visualization

1970 First report - 3D oscillioscopic images 1970's Medical imaging 1978 3D surface presentation [Sunguroff & Greengerg] 1979 Cuberille [Herman & Liu] 1981 Depth only shading [Herman & Udupa] 1982 Octree Machine [Meagher] Voxel processor [Goldwasser & Reynolds] 1984 Ray casting [Tuy & Tuy] 1985 Cube architecture [Kaufman & Bakalash] Back-to-front & Front-to-back Depth gradient shading [Gordon et al.] Contextual shading [Chen et al.]



History of Volume Visualization

1986 3D Scan conversion [Kaufman & Shimony] Grey-level shading [Hoehne & Bernstein] 1987 Marching cubes [Lorensen & Kline] 1988 Volume rendering [Levoy; Derbin; Upson & Keeler; Sabella] Dividing cubes [Cline et al.] 1989 Chapel Hill Workshop Splatting [Westover] 1990 San Diego Workshop 1992 Boston Workshop 1994 Washington Workshop 1996 San Francisco Workshop

IEEE Symposium on Volume Visualization IEEE Workshop on Volume Graphics IEEE Visualization

Volume Visualization

- Iso-surface extracting and rendering
 Marching Cubes (Lorensen 87)
 Marching Tetrahedra
- Direct volume visualization
 > Ray Casting (Levoy 89)
 > Splatting (Westover 90)

Surface Rendering

An indirect technique used for visualizing volume primitives by first converting them into an intermediate surface representation and then employing conventional computer graphics techniques to render them to the screen.



Volume Visualization

A direct technique for visualizing volume visualizing volume primitives without any intermediate conversion of the volumetric dataset to surface representation.



Surface Rendering

- Intermediate representation
- Tangible surfaces
- Information on surfaces
- Continuous
- Compact representation
- Fast
- Iso-surfacing

- Creates triangles
- Floating point representation
- Uses case table to create triangles
- Can use general purpose polygon-based hardware for rendering

Marching Cubes History

- Developed in 1984
- Published in Siggraph '87
- Marching Cubes in AVS and SGI Explorer, and *everywhere*
- 12,537 citations by google scholar
- Lorensen won achievement award at IEEE Visualization 2004

Marching Cubes Algorithm

- 1. Create a cube
- 2. Classify each vertex
- 3. Build an index
- 4. Get edge list
- 5. Interpolate triangle vertices
- 6. Calculate and interpolate normals

- Step 1 Create a cube
- Consider a cube defined by eight data values, four from slice k, and four from slice k + 1



Step 2 - Classify each vertex

 Classify each vertex of the cube as to whether it lies outside surface or inside the surface

Outside if vertex value < surface value</p>

>Inside if vertex value >= surface



Step 3 - Build an index

 Create an index between 0 and 255 from the binary labeling of each vertex



Step 4 - Get edge list

- For a given index, access a list of cubes edges that contain a triangle vertex
- Using symmetry of the cube, all 256 cases can be generated from fourteen cases





Marching Cubes Step 5 - Interpolate triangle vertices

• For each triangle edge, find the vertex using linear interpolation of the density values

x = i + (value - D(i)) / (D(i + 1) - D(i))



Step 6 - Calculate and interpolate normals

• For each triangle edge, find the vertex normals from the gradient of the density data using central differences

$$Gx = D(i + 1, j, k) - D(i - 1, j, k)$$

Gy = D(i, j + 1, k) - D(i, j - 1, k)Gz = D(i, j, k + 1) - D(i, j, k - 1)



Extensions for Analysis

- Originally developed to produce surfaces for rendering
- Ambiguous cases can result in holes
- Many solutions proposed by many authors
 Face patching
 - ►Tetrahedra
 - Function dependent triangulation

Ambiguous Cases

- Occur on any cube face that has adjacent vertices with different states, but diagonal vertices in same state
- There are six of these cases







Volume Rendering

- Direct projection
- Translucent gel
- Information inside objects
- Discrete
- Large datasets
- Slow
- Classification
- Compositing

Direct Volume Rendering

- Ray Casting
- Levoy 89 CG&A



Ray Traversal Methods

Around that time:



Volumetric Ray-Casting





Data set

View Plane













1. Interpolation

2. Gradient estimation



Estimated Gradient = (Δx, Δy, Δz)

Data set

View Plane







Data set

1. Interpolation

2. Gradient estimation

3. Classification

4. Shading

5. Compositing

Back-to-Front compositing :

View Plane

new color = front color • front α + back color • (1 - front α)



Data set

1. Interpolation

2. Gradient estimation

3. Classification

4. Shading

5. Compositing

View Plane



- **1. Interpolation**
- 2. Gradient estimation
- 3. Classification
- 4. Shading
- **5.** Compositing

Perspective Projection Aliasing!



Supersampling Too Expensive!



Adaptive Sampling

Kreeger, Dachille, Chen, Bitter, Kaufman, VolVis 98



Perspective Projection



LGN Nerve Cell

a) Undersampling

b) Adaptive Sampling

c) Undersampling Zoom

d) Adaptive Sampling Zoom

Perspective Projection

Oversampling Adaptive Sampling Undersampling



5³ checker box room (128³ volume)

Transfer Functions (TFs)

RGB(f)





RGB

 \mathbf{C}

Shading, Compositing...

 $\alpha(f)$

Human Tooth CT

Classification

Transfer Function



- Volume = field of 3D interpolation kernels
 > One kernel at each grid voxel
- Each kernel leaves a 2D *footprint* on screen
 > Voxel contribution = footprint ·(C, opacity)
- Weighted footprints accumulate into image

voxel kernels



screen footprints = splats

- Volume = field of 3D interpolation kernels
 > One kernel at each grid voxel
- Each kernel leaves a 2D *footprint* on screen
 > Voxel contribution = footprint ·(C, opacity)
- Weighted footprints accumulate into image

voxel kernels



- Volume = field of 3D interpolation kernels
 > One kernel at each grid voxel
- Each kernel leaves a 2D *footprint* on screen
 > Voxel contribution = footprint ·(C, opacity)
- Weighted footprints accumulate into image

voxel kernels



- Volume = field of 3D interpolation kernels
 > One kernel at each grid voxel
- Each kernel leaves a 2D *footprint* on screen
 > Voxel contribution = footprint ·(C, opacity)
- Weighted footprints accumulate into image

screet

voxel kernels



screen footprints = splats

Volume Splatting: Highlights

- Footprints can be pre-integrated
 > fast voxel projection
- Advantages over raycasting:
 Fast: voxel interpolation is in 2D on screen
 - Hardware acceleration
 - More accurate reconstruction (afford better kernels)
 - >Only relevant voxels must be projected

Volume Rendering Expenses

1024³ 16-bit volume @ 30 Hz

2GBytes storage

 60GBytes/second memory bandwidth (one access per voxel)

 900 billion instructions/second (30 instructions per voxel)

Volume Rendering Using Conventional Graphics Hardware



HP Voxelator Architecture



Cube Architecture Design



Cube-1







VolumePro (Cube-4)



VolumePro / VolVis



VolumePro / VolVis



Volumetric Display



http://www.lightspacetech.com/